UIC Wetlands Reduce Nutrient Pollution and Greenhouse Gases Emissions Frances Crable¹, Karis Gorak¹, Samantha Yang¹, Eduardo A. Dias de Oliveira¹, Miquel Gonzalez-Meler¹ and Neil C Sturchio² ¹Department of Biological Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, ²College of Earth, Ocean, and Environment, University of Delaware

Introduction



slow decomposition rates



Undergraduate Research Initiative (LASURI) for their support.

Methods

Figure 3. Hennepin-Hopper lake, our study site, is a wetland located along the Illinois River and was restore from agricultural land in 2001 http://isgs.illinois.edu/ilhap

Results

Nutrient Source	Land Area Needed (ha)	Sequestration Carbon-equivalents dry wetlands C- density 20 kg C m ⁻ ² (mt CO ₂ year ⁻¹	Sequestration Carbon-equivalents Nutrient farming C-density 20 kg C m ⁻² (mt CO ₂ year ⁻¹	2002-2004 Illinois coal- CO ₂ emissions reduction from nutrient farming (%)
Chicago Metropolitan WRP	76,500	32,900	175,950	3.0
Illinois River WRPs	158,000	68,450	363,400	6.1

Table 1: Annual wetland C sequestration potential of nutrient farming over the next 30-40 years after restoration based on the number of hectares needed for removing the nutrient load by either the Chicago metropolitan water treatment plans (WTP), or all the combined WRP for the entire Illinois river Basin. Nutrient farming in Illinois over the next 30 years could reduce the total greenhouse gas emissions from coal plants in Illinois in 2002-2004 by over 6 %

Conclusions

- Nitrate composition is evidence that denitrification is the cause of NO₃ loses in the constructed wetland
- The C:N ratio of soils indicate accumulation of relatively fresh organic matter, which is indicative of slow decomposition rates and high presence of particulate organic matter favorable for carbon sequestration
- The restoration of lost wetland area can be a cost-effective method to reduce N pollution and CO₂ emission

After Restoration Imagery ©2018 , DigitalGlobe, Landsat / Copernicus, USDA Farm Service Agend